Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/359,793	YAMADA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Marlon T Fletcher	2837

All participants (applicant, applicant's representat	tive, PTO personnel):
(1) Marlon T Fletcher.	(3)
(2) <u>Brian Tollefson</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 26 March 2002.	
Type: a)∰ Telephonic b)☐ Video Confer c)∰ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ ap	ence plicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)[If Yes, brief description:	☐ Yes e)☐ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Marx (5,734,)	<u>731)</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was	reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.
reached, or any other comments: It is agreed that the prior art. The claim does not clearly define the	ne general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was at the claim 1 must be amended in order to define the invention over ne magnification means or its operation over the prior art of record. In and if it clearly places the application in condition for allowance, the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of tallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, wallowable is available, a summary thereof must be	the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims be attached.)
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to pr checked). 	rovide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is
MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GI	THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION TERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office VEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A ITERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required